





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/726,736	11/29/2000	Samuel Schindler	ESEC-P32US-D1	3137
75	590 12/31/2003		EXAMI	NER
Marc S. Hanish			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
D' Alessandro & Ritchie			ART UNIT	DARED MUMBER
P.O. Box 640640			ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			3729	ï
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/726,736	SCHINDLER, SAMUEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rick K. Chang	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De	ecember 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific referenc	s have been received. s have been received in Applity documents have been received in Applity documents have been received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 t sentence of the specification has been	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ e specification or in an Appli	120 and/or 121 since a specific cation Data Sheet 37 CFR 1 78
of the second of the	p-om-omen of in all rippin	sales sale shoot of of It 1.10.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-20, 25 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genov et al (US 5,064,340).

Genov discloses 32 is a chip gripper; 12 and 18 levers; toothed wheels and belts (Fig. 5) moves 32, 12 and 18; a plurality of shafts as Fig. 1; a drive mechanism is housed in 38; levers arragned with toothed wheels and belts provide various different angles between levers; and 32 is rigidly connected to the opposing end of the second pivoted lever by a shaft, bearings and screws (Fig. 1).

Genov fails to disclose defining the predetermined gear ratio by the formula n=360 \circ / Φ . It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the predetermined gear ratio by the formula n=360 \circ / Φ , since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claims 21-24 and 26-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genov et al (US 5,064,340) in view of Parker (US 5,934,147).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736

Art Unit: 3729

Genov fails to disclose delimiters and 1:3 gear ratio.

Parker discloses Fig. 3 shows delimiters thereby limiting the rotational movement of the gripper to allow for picking up heavy or odd size electronic components at certain locations of the apparatus' reach without manipulating any one of the levers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Genov by providing delimiters, as taught by Parker, for the purpose of limiting the rotational movement of the gripper to allow for picking up heavy or odd size electronic components at certain locations of the apparatus' reach without manipulating any one of the levers.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a gear ratio of 1:3 because Applicant has not disclosed that such gear ratio provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the gear and toothed belt system as taught by Genov because the outcome of the apparatus is the same.

NOTE: Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion -

- 6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736

Art Unit: 3729

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

RC December 30, 2003